

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER &
SMITH INCORPORATED,

No C 10-2009 VRW

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v

XIN XIN ("Annie") LI,

Defendant.

XIN XIN ("Annie") LI,

No C 09-3622 VRW

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v

MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER &
SMITH INCORPORATED, DOES ONE TO
TEN,

Defendants.

_____ /

1 On August 24, 2010 the court ordered Xin Xin "Annie" Li
2 to show cause why judgment should not be entered against her in the
3 above-captioned cases. Doc #15. In its order, the court made
4 clear that failure to respond would be considered grounds on which
5 to enter judgment in favor of Merrill Lynch in the both cases. Id.
6 Li has not filed a response to the court's order and the deadline
7 for doing so has passed. Accordingly, the clerk is DIRECTED to
8 enter judgment in cases 09-cv-3622 VRW and 10-cv-2009 in favor of
9 MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED, to terminate
10 all motions, to vacate all hearings and to close the files.

11
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.



13
14 VAUGHN R WALKER
15 United States District Chief Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28